

## United States Atent and Trademark Office



HCT

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/487,718 01/19/2000		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  Mitsuaki Amemiya	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
		01/19/2000		35.C14183	
5514	7590	06/26/2002			
		LLA HARPER &	EXAMINER		
	FELLER PLAZA K, NY 10112			KUNEMUND, ROBERT M	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1765	13
				DATE MAILED: 06/26/2002	1-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

		フラ	
Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/487,718	AMEMIYA, MITSUAKI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Robert M Kunemund	1765		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 13 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

	tion (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
b)	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have been fit 37 CFR 1.17 (b) above, if	ions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee iled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 7(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any nt term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The	e proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) 🗀	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) 🗌	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) 🗌	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) 🗌	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:
3.☐ App	plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment inceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	e a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the oplication in condition for allowance because: see note.
	e affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly ised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	r purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an proposed amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The	e status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Cla	aim(s) allowed:
Cla	aim(s) objected to:
Cla	aim(s) rejected: <u>58-60</u> .
	aim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8 The	e proposed drawing correction filed on is a) $\square$ approved or b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	te the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. ☐ Ot	ther:
	ROBERT KUNEMUND PRIMARY EXAMINER
	·

\_ Art Unit: 1765

Applicant's arguments filed June 13, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants' argument concerning the combination of reference has been considered and not deemed persuasive. The Kennedy reference does teach the liquid-solid interface that is instantly claimed. The specification teaches the upward convex interface to obtain the temperature levels. Further, it would have been obvious to combine references as one of ordinary skill in the art would clearly see the advantages of controlling the growth process, better crystal quality. Also, one of ordinary skill in the art would use materials in the process that can handle the growth parameters.